Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL	
FORM	

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Ú

	Application Number		10/780,082		
	Filing Date	02/17/2004			
	First Named Inventor	entor Daniel Puzio			
	Art Unit	3677			
	Examiner Name	Mitchell, Katherine W.			
	Attorney Docket Number	0275Y-625COD			

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)								
Fee Transmittal Form		☐ Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)				
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences				
Amendment / Rep	oly	Petition		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)				
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information				
Affidavits/dec	laration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Status Letter				
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):				
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)			Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance; Fee(s) Transmittal (PTOL-85 - in duplicate); and postcard.			
Information Disclosure Statement				duplicate), and postcard.				
Certified Copy of Document(s)	Priority	Remarks						
Response to Miss Incomplete Applic	•							
Response to Missing								
Parts under 3 1.52 or 1.53	7 CFR							
	SIGNA	TURE OF APP	LICANT, ATTORNEY, OI	R AGE	NT			
Firm		Attorney Name			Reg. No.			
or Hamess, Dickey &					27313			
individual name								
Signature	Signature Christoph The Brock							
Date	Date January 3, 2006							

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Typed or printed name	Christopher M. Brock	Express Mail Label No.	EV 717 344 256 US (1/3/2006)
Signature	Climtoder & brock	Date	January 3, 2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.





## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**Application No.:** 

10/780,082

Notice of Allowance Dated

10/11/2005

Filing Date:

02/17/2004

Applicants:

**Daniel Puzio** 

Group Art Unit:

3677

Examiner:

Mitchell, Katherine W.

Title:

Method And Apparatus For Fastening Steel

Framing With Self-Locking Nails

Attorney Docket:

0275Y-625COD

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## **COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Sir:

Applicant gratefully notes the allowance of Claims 85-110 in the present application. Reasons for Allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear [the Examiner's] reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104 (e). In the present case, Applicant believes the record as a whole does make clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicant does not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. While Applicant believes the claims are allowable, Applicant does not acquiesce that patentability

resides solely in the specific feature or combination of features identified, or that each feature or combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the Reasons for Allowance do not separately address the subject matter of each claim, Applicant does not acquiesce to any inference that any one claim does not present patentable subject matter independent of any other claim.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 3, 2006

1

Christopher M. Brock Reg. No. 27313

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

CMB:bg Serial No. 10/780,082